

# Schauder estimates for parabolic and elliptic nondivergence operators of Hörmander type

joint work with Luca Brandolini (Università di Bergamo)

Marco Bramanti

Politecnico di Milano

Cortona, 12-17 june 2006

# Introduction

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$  be a system of smooth real vector fields satisfying Hörmander's rank condition in a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

In this setting, “sum of squares” operators (of stationary or evolutionary type)

$$\sum_{i=1}^q X_i^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_t - \sum_{i=1}^q X_i^2$$

have been widely studied since Hörmander's famous paper (1967, Acta Math.): these operators are hypoelliptic, and share with elliptic and parabolic operators several deep analogies.

In recent years, **nondivergence operators** modeled on the above classes, namely

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(x) X_i X_j \quad \text{or} \quad H = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t, x) X_i X_j$$

have also been studied, assuming that  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^q$  is a symmetric, uniformly positive definite matrix of real functions defined in  $\Omega$  (stationary case) or in a bounded domain  $U \subset \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$  (evolutionary case), and  $\lambda > 0$  is a constant such that:

$$\lambda^{-1} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq \lambda |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^q,$$

uniformly in  $\Omega$  or  $U$ .

- Motivations to study these operators:

In recent years, **nondivergence operators** modeled on the above classes, namely

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(x) X_i X_j \quad \text{or} \quad H = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t, x) X_i X_j$$

have also been studied, assuming that  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^q$  is a symmetric, uniformly positive definite matrix of real functions defined in  $\Omega$  (stationary case) or in a bounded domain  $U \subset \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$  (evolutionary case), and  $\lambda > 0$  is a constant such that:

$$\lambda^{-1} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq \lambda |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^q,$$

uniformly in  $\Omega$  or  $U$ .

- Motivations to study these operators:
  - ▶ geometry in several complex variables (see Montanari-Lanconelli 2004, J. Diff. Eq. and references therein)

In recent years, **nondivergence operators** modeled on the above classes, namely

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(x) X_i X_j \quad \text{or} \quad H = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t, x) X_i X_j$$

have also been studied, assuming that  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^q$  is a symmetric, uniformly positive definite matrix of real functions defined in  $\Omega$  (stationary case) or in a bounded domain  $U \subset \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$  (evolutionary case), and  $\lambda > 0$  is a constant such that:

$$\lambda^{-1} |\zeta|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij} \zeta_i \zeta_j \leq \lambda |\zeta|^2 \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^q,$$

uniformly in  $\Omega$  or  $U$ .

- Motivations to study these operators:
  - ▶ geometry in several complex variables (see Montanari-Lanconelli 2004, J. Diff. Eq. and references therein)
  - ▶ models of human vision (see Citti-Sarti to appear on J. Math. imaging and vision)

- In the study of degenerate operators like  $L, H$ , the system of Hörmander vector fields  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$ :

- In the study of degenerate operators like  $L, H$ , the system of Hörmander vector fields  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$ :
  - ▶ is the natural substitute of the “Cartesian” derivatives  $\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}$

- In the study of degenerate operators like  $L, H$ , the system of Hörmander vector fields  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$ :
  - ▶ is the natural substitute of the “Cartesian” derivatives  $\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}$
  - ▶ induces a “Carnot-Carathéodory distance” which is (locally) doubling with respect to the Lebesgue measure.



- In the study of degenerate operators like  $L, H$ , the system of Hörmander vector fields  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$ :
  - ▶ is the natural substitute of the “Cartesian” derivatives  $\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}$
  - ▶ induces a “Carnot-Carathéodory distance” which is (locally) doubling with respect to the Lebesgue measure.
- These facts allow to define function spaces shaped on the vector fields: Hölder spaces, Sobolev spaces,  $BMO$ ,  $VMO$  etc. It is natural to use these spaces to express the required regularity of the coefficients  $a_{ij}$ .

- In the study of degenerate operators like  $L, H$ , the system of Hörmander vector fields  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$ :
  - ▶ is the natural substitute of the “Cartesian” derivatives  $\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}$
  - ▶ induces a “Carnot-Carathéodory distance” which is (locally) doubling with respect to the Lebesgue measure.
- These facts allow to define function spaces shaped on the vector fields: Hölder spaces, Sobolev spaces,  $BMO$ ,  $VMO$  etc. It is natural to use these spaces to express the required regularity of the coefficients  $a_{ij}$ .
- If the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  are not  $C^\infty$ , the operator  $L$  or  $H$  is **no longer hypoelliptic**, and no result can be drawn on it from the classical theory of Hörmander’s sums of squares. Nevertheless:

- In the study of degenerate operators like  $L, H$ , the system of Hörmander vector fields  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$ :
  - ▶ is the natural substitute of the “Cartesian” derivatives  $\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}$
  - ▶ induces a “Carnot-Carathéodory distance” which is (locally) doubling with respect to the Lebesgue measure.
- These facts allow to define function spaces shaped on the vector fields: Hölder spaces, Sobolev spaces,  $BMO$ ,  $VMO$  etc. It is natural to use these spaces to express the required regularity of the coefficients  $a_{ij}$ .
- If the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  are not  $C^\infty$ , the operator  $L$  or  $H$  is **no longer hypoelliptic**, and no result can be drawn on it from the classical theory of Hörmander’s sums of squares. Nevertheless:
- classical results about elliptic and parabolic operators, which do not require, in principle, high regularity of the coefficients, when properly reformulated in the language of vector fields, look like reasonable -although nontrivial- conjectures.

Two typical instances of this situation are (local)  $L^p$  estimates and  $C^\alpha$  estimates on the “second order” derivatives  $X_i X_j u$ .

- In B.-Brandolini (2000, Rend. Torino; 2000, Trans. A.M.S.) we have proved  $L^p$  estimates of this kind for stationary operators of type  $(L)$  or some more general classes, assuming the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  in the space  $VMO$ , extending the classical results of Rothschild-Stein (1976, Acta Math.) for Hörmander’ sum of squares.

Two typical instances of this situation are (local)  $L^p$  estimates and  $C^\alpha$  estimates on the “second order” derivatives  $X_i X_j u$ .

- In B.-Brandolini (2000, Rend. Torino; 2000, Trans. A.M.S.) we have proved  $L^p$  estimates of this kind for stationary operators of type  $(L)$  or some more general classes, assuming the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  in the space  $VMO$ , extending the classical results of Rothschild-Stein (1976, Acta Math.) for Hörmander’ sum of squares.
- In this paper, we prove local  $C^\alpha$  estimates of Schauder type for nonvariational parabolic operators of Hörmander’s type. To state our main result, we need some more formal definition...

## Parabolic Carnot-Carathéodory distance and Hölder spaces

- Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$  be a system of smooth real vector fields satisfying Hörmander's condition of step  $s$  in a bounded domain  $\Omega_o \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Parabolic Carnot-Carathéodory distance and Hölder spaces

- Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$  be a system of smooth real vector fields satisfying Hörmander's condition of step  $s$  in a bounded domain  $\Omega_o \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- For  $x, y \in \Omega_o$ , let:

$$d(x, y) = \inf \{ T(\gamma) \mid \gamma : [0, T(\gamma)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ } X\text{-subunit, } \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(T(\gamma)) = y \}$$

where we call  $X$ -subunit any absolutely continuous path  $\gamma$  such that

$$\gamma'(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(t) X_j(\gamma(t))$$

a.e. with  $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(t)^2 \leq 1$  a.e. For  $x \in \Omega$ , we set

$$B_r(x) = \{y \in \Omega_o : d(x, y) < r\}.$$

# Parabolic Carnot-Carathéodory distance and Hölder spaces

- Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$  be a system of smooth real vector fields satisfying Hörmander's condition of step  $s$  in a bounded domain  $\Omega_o \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- For  $x, y \in \Omega_o$ , let:

$$d(x, y) = \inf \{ T(\gamma) \mid \gamma : [0, T(\gamma)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ X-subunit, } \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(T(\gamma)) = y \}$$

where we call  $X$ -subunit any absolutely continuous path  $\gamma$  such that

$$\gamma'(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(t) X_j(\gamma(t))$$

a.e. with  $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(t)^2 \leq 1$  a.e. For  $x \in \Omega$ , we set

$$B_r(x) = \{y \in \Omega_o : d(x, y) < r\}.$$

- A well known result by Nagel-Stein-Weinger (1985, Acta Math.) states that  $d$  is a **distance** and the **Lebesgue measure is locally doubling** w.r.t.  $d$ :

$$|B_{2r}(x)| \leq c |B_r(x)| \forall x \in \Omega, r \leq r_0.$$



Let us now consider the **parabolic Carnot-Carathéodory distance**  $d_P$  corresponding to  $d$ , namely

$$d_P((t, x), (s, y)) = \sqrt{d(x, y)^2 + |t - s|},$$

defined in the cylinder  $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ . An analogous locally doubling condition holds.

- We can now define *parabolic Hölder spaces* adapted to this context.

Let us now consider the **parabolic Carnot-Carathéodory distance**  $d_P$  corresponding to  $d$ , namely

$$d_P((t, x), (s, y)) = \sqrt{d(x, y)^2 + |t - s|},$$

defined in the cylinder  $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ . An analogous locally doubling condition holds.

- We can now define *parabolic Hölder spaces* adapted to this context.
- For any bounded domain  $U \subset \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and any  $\alpha > 0$ , let:

$$|u|_{C^\alpha(U)} = \sup \left\{ \frac{|u(t, x) - u(s, y)|}{d_P((t, x), (s, y))^\alpha} : (t, x), (s, y) \in U, (t, x) \neq (s, y) \right\}$$

$$\|u\|_{C^\alpha(U)} = |u|_{C^\alpha(U)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(U)}$$

$$C^\alpha(U) = \left\{ u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|u\|_{C^\alpha(U)} < \infty \right\}.$$

- For any positive integer  $k$ , let

$$C^{k,\alpha}(U) = \left\{ u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} < \infty \right\}$$

with

$$\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} = \sum_{|I|+2h \leq k} \left\| \partial_t^h X^I u \right\|_{C^\alpha(U)}$$

where, for any multiindex  $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ , with  $1 \leq i_j \leq q$ , we say that  $|I| = s$  and

$$X^I u = X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_s} u.$$

- For any positive integer  $k$ , let

$$C^{k,\alpha}(U) = \left\{ u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} < \infty \right\}$$

with

$$\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} = \sum_{|I|+2h \leq k} \left\| \partial_t^h X^I u \right\|_{C^\alpha(U)}$$

where, for any multiindex  $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ , with  $1 \leq i_j \leq q$ , we say that  $|I| = s$  and

$$X^I u = X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_s} u.$$

- A known property of CC-distance states that

$$c_1 |x - y| \leq d(x, y) \leq c_2 |x - y|^{1/s} \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega,$$

(where  $s$  is the step appearing in Hörmander's condition). Hence:

- For any positive integer  $k$ , let

$$C^{k,\alpha}(U) = \left\{ u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} < \infty \right\}$$

with

$$\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} = \sum_{|I|+2h \leq k} \left\| \partial_t^h X^I u \right\|_{C^\alpha(U)}$$

where, for any multiindex  $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ , with  $1 \leq i_j \leq q$ , we say that  $|I| = s$  and

$$X^I u = X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_s} u.$$

- A known property of CC-distance states that

$$c_1 |x - y| \leq d(x, y) \leq c_2 |x - y|^{1/s} \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega,$$

(where  $s$  is the step appearing in Hörmander's condition). Hence:

- ▶ a function  $u \in C^\alpha(U)$  is also continuous on  $U$  in Euclidean sense.

- For any positive integer  $k$ , let

$$C^{k,\alpha}(U) = \left\{ u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} < \infty \right\}$$

with

$$\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} = \sum_{|I|+2h \leq k} \left\| \partial_t^h X^I u \right\|_{C^\alpha(U)}$$

where, for any multiindex  $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ , with  $1 \leq i_j \leq q$ , we say that  $|I| = s$  and

$$X^I u = X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_s} u.$$

- A known property of CC-distance states that

$$c_1 |x - y| \leq d(x, y) \leq c_2 |x - y|^{1/s} \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega,$$

(where  $s$  is the step appearing in Hörmander's condition). Hence:

- ▶ a function  $u \in C^\alpha(U)$  is also continuous on  $U$  in Euclidean sense.
- ▶ all the derivatives  $\partial_t^h X^I u$  involved in the definition of  $C^{k,\alpha}$  are continuous in Euclidean sense

## Theorem (Main result)

Under the above assumptions on  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$  and

$A = \{a_{ij}(t, x)\}_{i,j=1}^q$ , let

$$H = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t, x) X_i X_j - \sum_{i=1}^q b_i(t, x) X_i - c(t, x)$$

with  $a_{ij}, b_i, c \in C^{k,\alpha}(U)$  for some integer  $k \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then,  $\forall U' \Subset U \exists c > 0$  depending on  $U, U', \{X_i\}, \alpha, k, \lambda$  and the  $C^{k,\alpha}$  norms of the coefficients such that  $\forall u \in C_{loc}^{k+2,\alpha}(U)$  with  $Hu \in C^{k,\alpha}(U)$  one has

$$\|u\|_{C^{k+2,\alpha}(U')} \leq c \left\{ \|Hu\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(U)} \right\}.$$

Analogous Schauder estimates for stationary operators ( $L$ ) obviously follow from the above theorem, as a particular case.

## Comparison with the existing literature.

- Xu in (1992, Comm. Pure Appl. Math.) states local estimates of Schauder type for operators of type  $(L)$ , under an additional assumption on the structure of the Lie algebra generated by the  $X_i$ 's.



## Comparison with the existing literature.

- Xu in (1992, Comm. Pure Appl. Math.) states local estimates of Schauder type for operators of type  $(L)$ , under an additional assumption on the structure of the Lie algebra generated by the  $X_i$ 's.
- Capogna-Han in (2003, Contemp. Math. n.320) prove “pointwise Schauder estimates” (in the spirit of Caffarelli’s work (1989, Ann. Math.) on fully nonlinear equations) for equations of type  $(L)$  in Carnot groups.

## Comparison with the existing literature.

- Xu in (1992, Comm. Pure Appl. Math.) states local estimates of Schauder type for operators of type  $(L)$ , under an additional assumption on the structure of the Lie algebra generated by the  $X_i$ 's.
- Capogna-Han in (2003, Contemp. Math. n.320) prove “pointwise Schauder estimates” (in the spirit of Caffarelli’s work (1989, Ann. Math.) on fully nonlinear equations) for equations of type  $(L)$  in Carnot groups.
- Montanari in (2003, Ann. Pisa) proves local Schauder estimates for a particular class of operators of type  $(H)$ , namely tangential operators on CR manifolds, where the vector fields are allowed to be nonsmooth  $(C^{1,\alpha})$ .

## Comparison with the existing literature.

- Xu in (1992, Comm. Pure Appl. Math.) states local estimates of Schauder type for operators of type  $(L)$ , under an additional assumption on the structure of the Lie algebra generated by the  $X_i$ 's.
- Capogna-Han in (2003, Contemp. Math. n.320) prove “pointwise Schauder estimates” (in the spirit of Caffarelli’s work (1989, Ann. Math.) on fully nonlinear equations) for equations of type  $(L)$  in Carnot groups.
- Montanari in (2003, Ann. Pisa) proves local Schauder estimates for a particular class of operators of type  $(H)$ , namely tangential operators on CR manifolds, where the vector fields are allowed to be nonsmooth  $(C^{1,\alpha})$ .

The main feature of the present paper, besides the “evolutionary” case it covers, is that our theory applies to *any* system of Hörmander vector fields.

## Plan of the talk

In our proof, a *basic role is played by  $C^\alpha$  continuity of singular and fractional integrals on spaces of homogeneous type*, that we prove here, coupled with the machinery introduced by Rothschild-Stein (1976, Acta Math.), that we have adapted to nondivergence operators in B.-Brandolini (2000, T.A.M.S.; 2005, Rev. Mat. Iberoam.).

- Background on Hörmander's vector fields on homogenous groups (Folland);

## Plan of the talk

In our proof, a basic role is played by  $C^\alpha$  continuity of singular and fractional integrals on spaces of homogeneous type, that we prove here, coupled with the machinery introduced by Rothschild-Stein (1976, Acta Math.), that we have adapted to nondivergence operators in B.-Brandolini (2000, T.A.M.S.; 2005, Rev. Mat. Iberoam.).

- Background on Hörmander's vector fields on homogenous groups (Folland);
- Background on Hörmander's vector fields in the general case (Rothschild-Stein);

## Plan of the talk

In our proof, a *basic role is played by  $C^\alpha$  continuity of singular and fractional integrals on spaces of homogeneous type*, that we prove here, coupled with the machinery introduced by Rothschild-Stein (1976, Acta Math.), that we have adapted to nondivergence operators in B.-Brandolini (2000, T.A.M.S.; 2005, Rev. Mat. Iberoam.).

- Background on Hörmander's vector fields on homogenous groups (Folland);
- Background on Hörmander's vector fields in the general case (Rothschild-Stein);
- Line of the proof of our main result (in 3 steps);

## Plan of the talk

In our proof, a basic role is played by  $C^\alpha$  continuity of singular and fractional integrals on spaces of homogeneous type, that we prove here, coupled with the machinery introduced by Rothschild-Stein (1976, Acta Math.), that we have adapted to nondivergence operators in B.-Brandolini (2000, T.A.M.S.; 2005, Rev. Mat. Iberoam.).

- Background on Hörmander's vector fields on homogenous groups (Folland);
- Background on Hörmander's vector fields in the general case (Rothschild-Stein);
- Line of the proof of our main result (in 3 steps);
- $C^\alpha$ -continuity of singular integrals in spaces of homogeneous type: an abstract result and its application to our situation

## Plan of the talk

In our proof, a basic role is played by  $C^\alpha$  continuity of singular and fractional integrals on spaces of homogeneous type, that we prove here, coupled with the machinery introduced by Rothschild-Stein (1976, Acta Math.), that we have adapted to nondivergence operators in B.-Brandolini (2000, T.A.M.S.; 2005, Rev. Mat. Iberoam.).

- Background on Hörmander's vector fields on homogenous groups (Folland);
- Background on Hörmander's vector fields in the general case (Rothschild-Stein);
- Line of the proof of our main result (in 3 steps);
- $C^\alpha$ -continuity of singular integrals in spaces of homogeneous type: an abstract result and its application to our situation
- A further regularization result;



# Plan of the talk

In our proof, a basic role is played by  $C^\alpha$  continuity of singular and fractional integrals on spaces of homogeneous type, that we prove here, coupled with the machinery introduced by Rothschild-Stein (1976, Acta Math.), that we have adapted to nondivergence operators in B.-Brandolini (2000, T.A.M.S.; 2005, Rev. Mat. Iberoam.).

- Background on Hörmander's vector fields on homogenous groups (Folland);
- Background on Hörmander's vector fields in the general case (Rothschild-Stein);
- Line of the proof of our main result (in 3 steps);
- $C^\alpha$ -continuity of singular integrals in spaces of homogeneous type: an abstract result and its application to our situation
- A further regularization result;
- Application to regularity of the fundamental solution.

## Background: the homogeneous case

- A **homogeneous group**  $\mathbb{G}$  is  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with a Lie group structure (*translations*), and a one parameter family of automorphisms (*dilations*).

We write:  $u \circ v$  for translation,  $u^{-1}$  for the inverse;  $0$  is the identity.

Dilations: for suitable exponents  $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_N$  we have

$$D(\lambda) : (u_1, \dots, u_N) \mapsto (\lambda^{a_1} u_1, \dots, \lambda^{a_N} u_N) \quad \forall \lambda > 0$$

The number

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

is called the *homogeneous dimension* of  $\mathbb{G}$ .

## Background: the homogeneous case

- A **homogeneous group**  $\mathbb{G}$  is  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with a Lie group structure (*translations*), and a one parameter family of automorphisms (*dilations*).

We write:  $u \circ v$  for translation,  $u^{-1}$  for the inverse;  $0$  is the identity.

Dilations: for suitable exponents  $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_N$  we have

$$D(\lambda) : (u_1, \dots, u_N) \mapsto (\lambda^{a_1} u_1, \dots, \lambda^{a_N} u_N) \quad \forall \lambda > 0$$

The number

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

is called the *homogeneous dimension* of  $\mathbb{G}$ .

- **Homogeneous functions and differential operators.** We say that: a *function*  $f$  is homogeneous of degree  $\alpha$  if

$$f((D(\lambda)u)) = \lambda^\alpha f(u) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, u \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

a *differential operator*  $Y$  is homogeneous of degree  $\alpha$  if

$$Y[f((D(\lambda)u))] = \lambda^\alpha (Yf)(D(\lambda)u) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, u \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ test function } f.$$

- **Sublaplacian.** Assume  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_q$  is a system of Hörmander's vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that the  $Y_i$  are left invariant and homogeneous of degree 1. Then the sublaplacian:

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^q Y_i^2$$

is a left invariant, homogeneous of degree 2, hypoelliptic operator on  $\mathbb{G}$ .

A fundamental result by Folland (1975, Arkiv för Mat.) states that:

### Theorem (Existence of a homogeneous fundamental solution)

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a left invariant differential operator homogeneous of degree two on a homogeneous group  $\mathbb{G}$ , such that  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^T$  are both hypoelliptic. Moreover, assume  $Q \geq 3$ . Then there is a unique fundamental solution  $\Gamma$  such that:

- (a)  $\Gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ ;
- (b)  $\Gamma$  is homogeneous of degree  $(2 - Q)$ ;
- (c) for every distribution  $\tau$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}(\tau * \Gamma) = (\mathcal{L}\tau) * \Gamma = \tau.$$

The previous representation formula also implies that, for any test function  $u$ :

$$Y_i Y_j u = PV((\mathcal{L}u) * Y_i Y_j \Gamma) + c_{ij} \mathcal{L}u$$

where  $c_{ij}$  are constants.

## Theorem (continued)

Moreover, the kernel

$$k = Y_i Y_j \Gamma$$

satisfies the standard assumption of a Calderón-Zygmund-type singular integral kernel:

- (a)  $Y_i Y_j \Gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ ;
- (b)  $Y_i Y_j \Gamma$  is homogeneous of degree  $-Q$ ;
- (c)

$$\int_{r_1 < \|u\| < r_2} Y_i Y_j \Gamma(u) du = 0 \quad \forall r_1 < r_2.$$

- These results allow to apply an abstract theory of **singular integrals** developed a few years before Folland's results:

## Theorem (continued)

Moreover, the kernel

$$k = Y_i Y_j \Gamma$$

satisfies the standard assumption of a Calderón-Zygmund-type singular integral kernel:

- (a)  $Y_i Y_j \Gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ ;
- (b)  $Y_i Y_j \Gamma$  is homogeneous of degree  $-Q$ ;
- (c)

$$\int_{r_1 < \|u\| < r_2} Y_i Y_j \Gamma(u) du = 0 \quad \forall r_1 < r_2.$$

- These results allow to apply an abstract theory of **singular integrals** developed a few years before Folland's results:
  - ▶ well-known results by Coifman-Weiss (1971, L.N.M.) and Knapp-Stein (1971, Ann. Math.) imply that this kind of singular integral operator maps  $L^p$  into itself continuously, for any  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ;

## Theorem (continued)

Moreover, the kernel

$$k = Y_i Y_j \Gamma$$

satisfies the standard assumption of a Calderón-Zygmund-type singular integral kernel:

- (a)  $Y_i Y_j \Gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ ;
- (b)  $Y_i Y_j \Gamma$  is homogeneous of degree  $-Q$ ;
- (c)

$$\int_{r_1 < \|u\| < r_2} Y_i Y_j \Gamma(u) du = 0 \quad \forall r_1 < r_2.$$

- These results allow to apply an abstract theory of **singular integrals** developed a few years before Folland's results:
  - ▶ well-known results by Coifman-Weiss (1971, L.N.M.) and Knapp-Stein (1971, Ann. Math.) imply that this kind of singular integral operator maps  $L^p$  into itself continuously, for any  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ;
  - ▶ results by Koranyi-Vagi (1972) also imply continuity on Hölder spaces  $C^\alpha$ .



- **“Parabolic” version** of Folland’s results. Let us consider

$$H = \partial_t - \sum_{i=1}^q Y_i^2$$

where the  $Y_i$ ’s are, as above, homogeneous left invariant Hörmander’s vector fields on  $\mathbb{G}$ .

- “Parabolic” version of Folland’s results. Let us consider

$$H = \partial_t - \sum_{i=1}^q Y_i^2$$

where the  $Y_i$ ’s are, as above, homogeneous left invariant Hörmander’s vector fields on  $\mathbb{G}$ .

- In  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ , let us define the following structure of homogeneous group  $\mathbb{G}'$ : for  $(t, \xi), (s, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{G}$ , we set:

$$(t, \xi) \circ (s, \eta) = (t + s, \xi \circ \eta),$$

$$D(\lambda)(t, \xi) = (\lambda^2 t, D(\lambda)\xi).$$

Then  $\mathbb{G}'$  is a homogeneous group with homogeneous dimension  $Q' = Q + 2$  (where  $Q$  is the homogeneous dimension of  $\mathbb{G}$ ).

- “Parabolic” version of Folland’s results. Let us consider

$$H = \partial_t - \sum_{i=1}^q Y_i^2$$

where the  $Y_i$ ’s are, as above, homogeneous left invariant Hörmander’s vector fields on  $\mathbb{G}$ .

- In  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ , let us define the following structure of homogeneous group  $\mathbb{G}'$ : for  $(t, \xi), (s, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{G}$ , we set:

$$(t, \xi) \circ (s, \eta) = (t + s, \xi \circ \eta),$$

$$D(\lambda)(t, \xi) = (\lambda^2 t, D(\lambda)\xi).$$

Then  $\mathbb{G}'$  is a homogeneous group with homogeneous dimension  $Q' = Q + 2$  (where  $Q$  is the homogeneous dimension of  $\mathbb{G}$ ).

- Therefore  $H$  has a fundamental solution  $h$ , homogeneous of degree  $2 - Q' = -Q$ .

## Background: the non-homogeneous case and the “lifting and approximation” technique

Let us consider now a **generic system** of Hörmander’s vector fields  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$ . Rothschild-Stein (1976, Acta Math.) have found a way to exploit the Folland’s theory (in homogeneous groups) to study the more general operators

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^q X_i^2 \text{ or } H = \partial_t - L.$$

### Theorem (“Lifting and approximation”)

Assume  $X_1, \dots, X_q$  satisfy Hörmander’s condition of step  $s$  at some point  $x_0 \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$X_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_j}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}(s, q)$  be the free Lie algebra of step  $s$  on  $q$  generators,  $\mathbb{G}$  the corresponding homogeneous group on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N = \dim \mathcal{G}(s, q) > n$ ),  $Y_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ) the canonical generators of the Lie algebra.

## Theorem (continued)

Then, the  $X_i$  (defined in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) can be “lifted” to new vector fields  $\tilde{X}_i$  defined (locally) in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ :

$$\tilde{X}_i = X_i + \sum_{j=1}^{N-n} c_{ij}(x, h) \partial_{h_j}, \quad \xi = (x, h) \in \mathbb{R}^N, h \in \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$$

such that the  $\tilde{X}_i$ 's satisfy Hörmander's condition of step  $s$ , and can be locally approximated by the (left inv. homog. vector fields)  $Y_i$ 's, that is: there exists a smoothly varying family of diffeomorphisms  $\Theta_\xi$ , from a neighborhood of  $\xi$  to a neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{G}$ , vector fields  $R_i^\xi$  (“remainders”) defined in a neighborhood of  $\xi$ , s.t.

$$\tilde{X}_i (f(\Theta_\xi(\cdot))) (\eta) = \left( Y_i f + R_i^\xi f \right) (\Theta_\xi(\eta)) \quad \forall f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{G})$$

where, if  $f(u)$  is homogeneous of negative degree  $-\lambda$ , then  $R_i^\xi f$  can be written as a sum of homogeneous functions of degrees  $\geq -\lambda$ , plus a smooth function (the singularity “does not become worse”).

## Local Schauder estimates: strategy of the proof

We will focus our attention on our main result in its *basic case*: no lower order terms, no higher order derivatives. The general case then follows from this result by a tedious repetition of the same general ideas.

### Theorem (Main result in the basic case)

Under the above assumptions on  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$  and the matrix  $\{a_{ij}(t, x)\}_{i,j=1}^q$  let

$$H = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t, x) X_i X_j.$$

Then, for every domain  $U' \Subset U$  there exists  $c > 0$  depending on  $U, U', \{X_i\}, \alpha, \lambda$  and  $\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha}$  such that for every  $u \in C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(U)$  with  $Hu \in C^\alpha(U)$  one has

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(U')} \leq c \left\{ \|Hu\|_{C^\alpha(U)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(U)} \right\}.$$

We now show how the proof is organized.

- First of all, by Rothschild-Stein “lifting Theorem”, we lift the vector fields

$$X_i(x) \text{ defined in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

to new vector fields

$$\tilde{X}_i(\xi) \text{ defined in } \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ with } \xi = (x, h), h \in \mathbb{R}^{N-n}.$$

We now show how the proof is organized.

- First of all, by Rothschild-Stein “lifting Theorem”, we lift the vector fields

$$X_i(x) \text{ defined in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

to new vector fields

$$\tilde{X}_i(\tilde{\zeta}) \text{ defined in } \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ with } \tilde{\zeta} = (x, h), h \in \mathbb{R}^{N-n}.$$

- We also set  $\tilde{a}_{ij}(t, \tilde{\zeta}) = \tilde{a}_{ij}(t, x, h) = a_{ij}(t, x)$ , and

$$\tilde{H} = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t, \tilde{\zeta}) \tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j.$$



We now show how the proof is organized.

- First of all, by Rothschild-Stein “lifting Theorem”, we lift the vector fields

$$X_i(x) \text{ defined in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

to new vector fields

$$\tilde{X}_i(\tilde{\zeta}) \text{ defined in } \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ with } \tilde{\zeta} = (x, h), h \in \mathbb{R}^{N-n}.$$

- We also set  $\tilde{a}_{ij}(t, \tilde{\zeta}) = \tilde{a}_{ij}(t, x, h) = a_{ij}(t, x)$ , and

$$\tilde{H} = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t, \tilde{\zeta}) \tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j.$$

- The proof of our main Theorem then proceeds in three steps:

## Step 1:

Theorem (Schauder estimates in the lifted space for functions with small support)

There exist  $r, c > 0$  such that  $\forall u \in C_0^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_r(t_0, \zeta_0))$ ,

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_r)} \leq c \left\{ \|\tilde{H}u\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{B}_r)} \right\}$$

where  $c, r$  depend on  $\{X_i\}$ ,  $\alpha, \lambda$  and  $\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha(U)}$ .

## Step 2:

Theorem (Schauder estimates in the lifted space for any function)

There exist  $r, c, \beta > 0$  such that  $\forall u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_r(t_0, \xi_0))$ ,  $0 < t < s < r$ ,

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_t)} \leq \frac{c}{(s-t)^\beta} \left\{ \|\tilde{H}u\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_s)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{B}_s)} \right\}$$

where  $c, r$  depend on  $\{X_i\}$ ,  $\alpha, \lambda$  and  $\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha(U)}$ ,  $\beta$  depends on  $\{X_i\}$ ,  $\alpha$ .

## Step 3:

### Theorem (Schauder estimates in the original space)

There exist  $r, c, \beta > 0$  such that  $\forall u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_r(t_0, x_0))$ ,  $0 < t < s < r$ ,

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_t)} \leq \frac{c}{(s-t)^\beta} \left\{ \|Hu\|_{C^\alpha(B_s)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_s)} \right\}$$

where  $c, r$  depend on  $\{X_i\}, \alpha, \lambda$  and  $\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha(U)}$ ,  $\beta$  depends on  $\{X_i\}, \alpha$ .

By an easy covering argument, this will imply (the basic case of) our main result.

## Step 1. Local Schauder estimates for test functions with small support

- Let us start again with the *lifted operator*:

$$\tilde{H} = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t, \zeta) \tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j.$$

## Step 1. Local Schauder estimates for test functions with small support

- Let us start again with the *lifted operator*:

$$\tilde{H} = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t, \zeta) \tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j.$$

- We now freeze the coefficients  $\tilde{a}_{ij}$  (but not the vector fields  $\tilde{X}_i$ !) at some point  $(t_0, \zeta_0) \in \tilde{U}$ , and consider the *frozen lifted operator*:

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \zeta_0) \tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j.$$

## Step 1. Local Schauder estimates for test functions with small support

- Let us start again with the *lifted operator*:

$$\tilde{H} = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t, \zeta) \tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j.$$

- We now freeze the coefficients  $\tilde{a}_{ij}$  (but not the vector fields  $\tilde{X}_i!$ ) at some point  $(t_0, \zeta_0) \in \tilde{U}$ , and consider the *frozen lifted operator*:

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \zeta_0) \tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j.$$

- To study  $\tilde{H}_0$ , we will consider its *approximating operator*, defined on  $\mathbb{G}' = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{G}$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \zeta_0) Y_i Y_j.$$

- Since  $\{\tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)\}$  is a constant positive definite matrix, the operator  $\sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \tilde{\xi}_0) Y_i Y_j$  can be rewritten as a “sum of squares” operator, so  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is hypoelliptic by Hörmander’s theorem. Moreover,  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is left invariant and homogenous of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{G}' = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{G}$ , hence by Folland’s results it has a fundamental solution, denoted by

$$h(t_0, \tilde{\xi}_0, t, u) \equiv h(t, u)$$

which is homogeneous of degree  $2 - Q' = -Q$ .



- Since  $\{\tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \tilde{\xi}_0)\}$  is a constant positive definite matrix, the operator  $\sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \tilde{\xi}_0) Y_i Y_j$  can be rewritten as a “sum of squares” operator, so  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is hypoelliptic by Hörmander’s theorem. Moreover,  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is left invariant and homogenous of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{G}' = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{G}$ , hence by Folland’s results it has a fundamental solution, denoted by

$$h(t_0, \tilde{\xi}_0, t, u) \equiv h(t, u)$$

which is homogeneous of degree  $2 - Q' = -Q$ .

- Next, we use this fundamental solution  $h(t, u)$  to build a parametrix for the lifted operator  $\tilde{H}$ :

$$h(t, \Theta_{\tilde{\xi}}(\eta)).$$

- Since  $\{\tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \xi_0)\}$  is a constant positive definite matrix, the operator  $\sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \xi_0) Y_i Y_j$  can be rewritten as a “sum of squares” operator, so  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is hypoelliptic by Hörmander’s theorem. Moreover,  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is left invariant and homogenous of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{G}' = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{G}$ , hence by Folland’s results it has a fundamental solution, denoted by

$$h(t_0, \xi_0, t, u) \equiv h(t, u)$$

which is homogeneous of degree  $2 - Q' = -Q$ .

- Next, we use this fundamental solution  $h(t, u)$  to build a parametrix for the lifted operator  $\tilde{H}$ :

$$h(t, \Theta_{\xi}(\eta)).$$

- Applying a technique introduced by Rothschild-Stein (1976, Acta Math.) and adapted to nondivergence operators by B.-Brandolini (2000, T.A.M.S.) we prove a representation formula for second order derivatives  $\tilde{X}_r \tilde{X}_s$  of a test function  $f$ , in terms of singular integrals applied to  $f$ ,  $\tilde{X}_k f$  and  $\tilde{H}f$ .

## Theorem

The following **representation formula for second derivatives of any test function**  $f$ , in terms of  $\tilde{H}f$ , holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_r \tilde{X}_s (af) (t, \zeta) &= T \tilde{H}f(t, \zeta) + \\ &+ T \sum_{i,j=1}^q [\tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \zeta_0) - \tilde{a}_{ij}(t, \zeta)] \tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j f(t, \zeta) + \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^q \tilde{a}_{ij}(t_0, \zeta_0) \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^q T_{ij}^k \tilde{X}_k f(t, \zeta) + T_{ij} f(t, \zeta) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $T, T_{ij}, T_{ij}^k$  are **frozen singular integrals**, and  $a$  is a cutoff function.

Roughly speaking, a frozen singular integral is a singular integral with a kernel of the kind:

$$\tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j [h(t, \Theta_{\zeta}(\cdot))] (\eta)$$

We can prove the following:

### Theorem ( $C^\alpha$ continuity of frozen singular integrals)

If  $T$  is a frozen singular integral and  $\tilde{B}_r$  a  $\tilde{d}_p$ -ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ , then  $T$  is continuous on  $C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)$ :

$$\|Tf\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} \leq c \|f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)}.$$

- Now, taking  $C^\alpha$  norms of both sides of our representation formula, applying the above continuity theorem, plus standard properties of Hölder norms (classical “Korn’s trick” which is used in Schauder theory) we get, for  $r$  small enough :

$$\|\tilde{X}_k \tilde{X}_h f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} \leq c \left\{ \|\tilde{H}f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} + \sum_{l=1}^q \|\tilde{X}_l f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} + \|f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} \right\}$$

We can prove the following:

### Theorem ( $C^\alpha$ continuity of frozen singular integrals)

If  $T$  is a frozen singular integral and  $\tilde{B}_r$  a  $\tilde{d}_P$ -ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ , then  $T$  is continuous on  $C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)$ :

$$\|Tf\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} \leq c \|f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)}.$$

- Now, taking  $C^\alpha$  norms of both sides of our representation formula, applying the above continuity theorem, plus standard properties of Hölder norms (classical “Korn’s trick” which is used in Schauder theory) we get, for  $r$  small enough :

$$\|\tilde{X}_k \tilde{X}_h f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} \leq c \left\{ \|\tilde{H}f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} + \sum_{l=1}^q \|\tilde{X}_l f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} + \|f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} \right\}$$

- and finally, with some more work,

$$\|f\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_r)} \leq c \left\{ \|\tilde{H}f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{B}_r)} \right\}$$

# Frozen singular integrals

- To prove that our “frozen singular integrals” map  $C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)$  in itself:

## Frozen singular integrals

- To prove that our “frozen singular integrals” map  $C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_r)$  in itself:
- we prove abstract theorems of  $C^\alpha$ -continuity of singular and fractional integrals on “spaces of homogeneous type”, in the sense of Coifman-Weiss (1971, L.N.M. n.242); our assumptions are standard growth conditions and suitable cancellation properties of the kernel:

$$\left| \int_{d(x,y)>r} k(x,y) dy \right| \leq c$$

$\forall r > 0$  (with  $c$  independent of  $r$ ) and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{d(x,y)>\varepsilon} k(x,y) dy - \int_{d(x_0,y)>\varepsilon} k(x_0,y) dy \right| \leq c d(x,x_0)^\gamma$$

for some  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ .

To check that our “frozen singular kernels”  $\tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j [h(t, \Theta_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\cdot))] (\eta)$  satisfy the assumptions of our abstract Theorem we use:

- the uniform Gaussian estimates on  $h(s, u)$  and its derivatives, proved by Bonfiglioli-Lanconelli-Uguzzoni (2002, Adv. Diff. Eqts.): the point is that these bounds depend on  $a_{ij}(t_0, \tilde{\zeta}_0)$  only through the ellipticity constant  $\lambda$ :

$$\left| \partial_t^k Y_{i_1} \dots Y_{i_r} h(t, u) \right| \leq \frac{c}{t^{Q/2+k+r/2}} e^{-c\|u\|^2/t}$$



To check that our “frozen singular kernels”  $\tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j [h(t, \Theta_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\cdot))] (\eta)$  satisfy the assumptions of our abstract Theorem we use:

- the uniform Gaussian estimates on  $h(s, u)$  and its derivatives, proved by Bonfiglioli-Lanconelli-Uguzzoni (2002, Adv. Diff. Eqts.): the point is that these bounds depend on  $a_{ij}(t_0, \tilde{\zeta}_0)$  only through the ellipticity constant  $\lambda$ :

$$\left| \partial_t^k Y_{i_1} \dots Y_{i_r} h(t, u) \right| \leq \frac{c}{t^{Q/2+k+r/2}} e^{-c\|u\|^2/t}$$

- a “mean value inequality”

$$|f(t, \tilde{\zeta}) - f(s, \eta)| \leq \left( \sup |\tilde{X}f| + R \sup |\partial_t f| \right) \tilde{d}_P((t, \tilde{\zeta}), (s, \eta))$$

$$\forall f \in C_0^1(\tilde{B}_R(t_0, \tilde{\zeta}_0));$$

To check that our “frozen singular kernels”  $\tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j [h(t, \Theta_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\cdot))](\eta)$  satisfy the assumptions of our abstract Theorem we use:

- the uniform Gaussian estimates on  $h(s, u)$  and its derivatives, proved by Bonfiglioli-Lanconelli-Uguzzoni (2002, Adv. Diff. Eqts.): the point is that these bounds depend on  $a_{ij}(t_0, \tilde{\zeta}_0)$  only through the ellipticity constant  $\lambda$ :

$$\left| \partial_t^k Y_{i_1} \dots Y_{i_r} h(t, u) \right| \leq \frac{c}{t^{Q/2+k+r/2}} e^{-c\|u\|^2/t}$$

- a “mean value inequality”

$$|f(t, \tilde{\zeta}) - f(s, \eta)| \leq \left( \sup |\tilde{X}f| + R \sup |\partial_t f| \right) \tilde{d}_P((t, \tilde{\zeta}), (s, \eta))$$

$$\forall f \in C_0^1(\tilde{B}_R(t_0, \tilde{\zeta}_0));$$

- a suitable splitting of the singular integral kernel in a part which has *vanishing integral on spherical shells* (like in the homogeneous case), plus other parts which are less singular, and therefore satisfy the required cancellation properties.

## Step 2. Interpolation inequalities for Hölder norms and local Schauder estimates in the lifted variables

For functions *not necessarily vanishing at the boundary* we have to prove:

### Theorem

There exist positive constants  $r, c, \beta$  such that  $\forall u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_r(t_0, \zeta_0))$ ,  $0 < t < s < r$ ,

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_t)} \leq \frac{c}{(s-t)^\beta} \left\{ \|\tilde{H}u\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_s)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{B}_s)} \right\}$$

where  $c, r$  depend on  $\{X_i\}, \alpha, \lambda$  and  $\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha(U)}$ ,  $\beta$  depends on  $\{X_i\}, \alpha$ .

- Step 2 follows from Step 1 by standard properties of **cutoff functions** and suitable **interpolation inequalities** for Hölder norms.

## Step 2. Interpolation inequalities for Hölder norms and local Schauder estimates in the lifted variables

For functions *not necessarily vanishing at the boundary* we have to prove:

### Theorem

There exist positive constants  $r, c, \beta$  such that  $\forall u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_r(t_0, \zeta_0))$ ,  $0 < t < s < r$ ,

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_t)} \leq \frac{c}{(s-t)^\beta} \left\{ \|\tilde{H}u\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_s)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{B}_s)} \right\}$$

where  $c, r$  depend on  $\{X_i\}, \alpha, \lambda$  and  $\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha(U)}$ ,  $\beta$  depends on  $\{X_i\}, \alpha$ .

- Step 2 follows from Step 1 by standard properties of **cutoff functions** and suitable **interpolation inequalities** for Hölder norms.
- The construction of well-shaped cutoff functions is fairly standard, also in this context, and we will not give further details.

## Step 2. Interpolation inequalities for Hölder norms and local Schauder estimates in the lifted variables

For functions *not necessarily vanishing at the boundary* we have to prove:

### Theorem

There exist positive constants  $r, c, \beta$  such that  $\forall u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_r(t_0, \zeta_0))$ ,  $0 < t < s < r$ ,

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_t)} \leq \frac{c}{(s-t)^\beta} \left\{ \|\tilde{H}u\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_s)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{B}_s)} \right\}$$

where  $c, r$  depend on  $\{X_i\}$ ,  $\alpha, \lambda$  and  $\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha(U)}$ ,  $\beta$  depends on  $\{X_i\}$ ,  $\alpha$ .

- Step 2 follows from Step 1 by standard properties of **cutoff functions** and suitable **interpolation inequalities** for Hölder norms.
- The construction of well-shaped cutoff functions is fairly standard, also in this context, and we will not give further details.
- The second tool is much more delicate, due to the *lack of dilations*:

## Theorem (Interpolation inequality)

There exist positive constants  $c, R, \gamma$  depending on  $\alpha, \{X_i\}$  such that  
 $\forall f \in C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_R), 0 < \rho < R, 0 < \delta < 1/3,$

$$\|Df\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_\rho)} \leq \delta \left[ \|D^2f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_R)} + \|\partial_t f\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_R)} \right] + \frac{c}{\delta^\gamma (R - \rho)^{2\gamma}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{B}_R)}.$$

## Step 3. Hölder spaces and lifting

We have now to show how we can transfer to the original space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  the Schauder estimates we have proved in the lifted space  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ .

- In other words, starting from the estimate

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_t)} \leq \frac{c}{(s-t)^\beta} \left\{ \|\tilde{H}u\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_s)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{B}_s)} \right\}$$

$$\forall u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_r(t_0, \zeta_0)), \quad 0 < t < s < r,$$

### Step 3. Hölder spaces and lifting

We have now to show how we can transfer to the original space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  the Schauder estimates we have proved in the lifted space  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ .

- In other words, starting from the estimate

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_t)} \leq \frac{c}{(s-t)^\beta} \left\{ \|\tilde{H}u\|_{C^\alpha(\tilde{B}_s)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{B}_s)} \right\}$$

$$\forall u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{B}_r(t_0, \xi_0)), \quad 0 < t < s < r,$$

- we have to prove the analogue:

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_t)} \leq \frac{c}{(s-t)^\beta} \left\{ \|Hu\|_{C^\alpha(B_s)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_s)} \right\}$$

$$\forall u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_r(t_0, x_0)), \quad 0 < t < s < r.$$



This fact involves the delicate relation between the CC-distance  $d(x, y)$  induced by a system  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$  of Hörmander's vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the CC-distance  $\tilde{d}(\xi, \eta)$  induced by the lifted vector fields  $\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \dots, \tilde{X}_q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

- Let  $d_P, \tilde{d}_P$  be the corresponding parabolic distances.

This fact involves the delicate relation between the CC-distance  $d(x, y)$  induced by a system  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$  of Hörmander's vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the CC-distance  $\tilde{d}(\xi, \eta)$  induced by the lifted vector fields  $\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \dots, \tilde{X}_q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

- Let  $d_P, \tilde{d}_P$  be the corresponding parabolic distances.
- Denote by  $C_X^\alpha(U), C_{\tilde{X}}^\alpha(\tilde{U})$  the Hölder spaces induced by  $d_P$  and  $\tilde{d}_P$ , respectively.

This fact involves the delicate relation between the CC-distance  $d(x, y)$  induced by a system  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q$  of Hörmander's vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the CC-distance  $\tilde{d}(\xi, \eta)$  induced by the lifted vector fields  $\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \dots, \tilde{X}_q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

- Let  $d_P, \tilde{d}_P$  be the corresponding parabolic distances.
- Denote by  $C_X^\alpha(U), C_{\tilde{X}}^\alpha(\tilde{U})$  the Hölder spaces induced by  $d_P$  and  $\tilde{d}_P$ , respectively.
- We are interested in the following question: if,  $\forall f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we set

$$\tilde{f} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ with } \tilde{f}(t, x, h) = f(t, x),$$

then, can we say that

$$f \in C_X^\alpha(U) \iff \tilde{f} \in C_{\tilde{X}}^\alpha(\tilde{U}) ?$$

- It is well-known that

$$\tilde{d}((x, h), (y, k)) \geq d(x, y);$$

this obviously implies

$$\tilde{d}_P((t, x, h), (s, y, k)) \geq d_P((t, x), (s, y))$$

and therefore

$$|\tilde{f}|_{C_{\tilde{X}}^{\alpha}(\tilde{U})} \leq |f|_{C^{\alpha}(U)}.$$

- It is well-known that

$$\tilde{d}((x, h), (y, k)) \geq d(x, y);$$

this obviously implies

$$\tilde{d}_P((t, x, h), (s, y, k)) \geq d_P((t, x), (s, y))$$

and therefore

$$|\tilde{f}|_{C_{\tilde{X}}^{\alpha}(\tilde{U})} \leq |f|_{C^{\alpha}(U)}.$$

- However, no simple inequality of the reverse kind

$$\tilde{d}((x, h), (y, h)) \leq cd(x, y)$$

seems to be known, so an inequality of the kind

$$|f|_{C_{\tilde{X}}^{\alpha}(U)} \leq c |\tilde{f}|_{C_{\tilde{X}}^{\alpha}(\tilde{U})}$$

is not trivial.

What is known is a *relation between the volume of  $d$ -balls and  $\tilde{d}$ -balls*, proved by Sanchez-Calle (1984, Inv. Math.):  
(the parabolic version we have written is a straightforward adaptation):

### Lemma

Given a point  $(t, x, h) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ ,

$$\left| \tilde{B}_r(t, x, h) \right| \simeq |B_r(t, x)| \cdot \left| \left\{ h' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-n} : (\tau, z, h') \in \tilde{B}_r(t, x, h) \right\} \right|$$

provided  $(\tau, z) \in B_{\delta r}(t, x)$  for some fixed  $\delta < 1$ . The equivalence holds with respect to  $r > 0$ , and the symbol  $|\cdot|$  denotes the volume of a set in the suitable dimension.

To exploit this “integral relation” between  $d$  and  $\tilde{d}$ , the point is to make use of an *integral formulation of Hölder continuity*, analogous to the classical integral characterization of  $C^\alpha$ , by Campanato (1963, Ann. Pisa).

The above two ingredients (parabolic adaptation of Sanchez-Calle result + adaptation of Campanato's characterization of  $C^\alpha$ ) enable us to prove the following:

### Lemma

If  $f, \tilde{f}$  are as above, then

$$\left| \tilde{f} \right|_{C_{\tilde{X}}^\alpha(\tilde{B}_R)} \leq |f|_{C_X^\alpha(B_R)} \leq c \left| \tilde{f} \right|_{C_{\tilde{X}}^\alpha(\tilde{B}_R)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\left| \tilde{X}_{i_1} \tilde{X}_{i_2} \dots \tilde{X}_{i_k} \tilde{f} \right|_{C_{\tilde{X}}^\alpha(\tilde{B}_R)} \leq |X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k} f|_{C_X^\alpha(B_R)} \leq c \left| \tilde{X}_{i_1} \tilde{X}_{i_2} \dots \tilde{X}_{i_k} \tilde{f} \right|_{C_{\tilde{X}}^\alpha(\tilde{B}_R)}$$

for  $i_j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ .

Combining "Step 2" with this Lemma, we immediately get "Step 3"

## Regularization of solutions

Assume now we have proved our main result also for *higher order derivatives*. We would like to use our a-priori estimates to show that, whenever a function  $u \in C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(U)$  solves  $Hu = f$  in  $U$  with  $C^{k,\alpha}(U)$  coefficients and data, then actually  $u \in C_{loc}^{k+2,\alpha}(U)$ .

This result is contained in the following:

### Theorem

*Under the assumptions of Theorem "Main result",  $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$ , if  $u \in C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(U)$  and  $Hu \in C^{k,\alpha}(U)$  for some even integer  $k$ , then  $u \in C_{loc}^{2+k,\alpha}(U)$ . Moreover,  $\forall U' \Subset U$ ,  $\exists c > 0$  depending on  $U, U', \{X_i\}, \alpha, k, \lambda$  and  $\|a_{ij}\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)}, \|b_i\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)}, \|c\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)}$  such that*

$$\|u\|_{C^{2+k,\alpha}(U')} \leq c \left\{ \|Hu\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(U)} \right\}.$$



The scheme of the proof is the following. If  $Hu = f$ , then we:

- 1 regularize coefficients and data:  $a_{ij}^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$ ;

The scheme of the proof is the following. If  $Hu = f$ , then we:

- 1 regularize coefficients and data:  $a_{ij}^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$ ;
- 2 solve the Dirichlet problem with boundary datum  $u$ , for the equation with  $C^\infty$  coefficients and data; by hypoellipticity, this solution  $u^\varepsilon$  is  $C^\infty$ , so it satisfies our a-priori estimates in  $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ ;

The scheme of the proof is the following. If  $Hu = f$ , then we:

- 1 regularize coefficients and data:  $a_{ij}^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$ ;
- 2 solve the Dirichlet problem with boundary datum  $u$ , for the equation with  $C^\infty$  coefficients and data; by hypoellipticity, this solution  $u^\varepsilon$  is  $C^\infty$ , so it satisfies our a-priori estimates in  $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ ;
- 3 apply some compactness argument to find a sequence  $u^{\varepsilon_n}$  converging to some  $v \in C_{loc}^{k+2,\alpha}$  (provided the constants in the a-priori estimates and the  $C^{k,\alpha}$ -norm of  $a_{ij}^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$  are bounded uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ );

The scheme of the proof is the following. If  $Hu = f$ , then we:

- 1 regularize coefficients and data:  $a_{ij}^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$ ;
- 2 solve the Dirichlet problem with boundary datum  $u$ , for the equation with  $C^\infty$  coefficients and data; by hypoellipticity, this solution  $u^\varepsilon$  is  $C^\infty$ , so it satisfies our a-priori estimates in  $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ ;
- 3 apply some compactness argument to find a sequence  $u^{\varepsilon_n}$  converging to some  $v \in C_{loc}^{k+2,\alpha}$  (provided the constants in the a-priori estimates and the  $C^{k,\alpha}$ -norm of  $a_{ij}^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$  are bounded uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ );
- 4 apply some maximum principle and barrier argument to conclude that actually  $v = u$ , so that  $u \in C_{loc}^{k+2,\alpha}$ .

The scheme of the proof is the following. If  $Hu = f$ , then we:

- 1 regularize coefficients and data:  $a_{ij}^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$ ;
  - 2 solve the Dirichlet problem with boundary datum  $u$ , for the equation with  $C^\infty$  coefficients and data; by hypoellipticity, this solution  $u^\varepsilon$  is  $C^\infty$ , so it satisfies our a-priori estimates in  $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ ;
  - 3 apply some compactness argument to find a sequence  $u^{\varepsilon_n}$  converging to some  $v \in C_{loc}^{k+2,\alpha}$  (provided the constants in the a-priori estimates and the  $C^{k,\alpha}$ -norm of  $a_{ij}^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$  are bounded uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ );
  - 4 apply some maximum principle and barrier argument to conclude that actually  $v = u$ , so that  $u \in C_{loc}^{k+2,\alpha}$ .
- A solvability result in the smooth case (2) and the suitable barrier argument (4) are classical results by Bony (1969, Ann. Inst. Fourier). It is also easy to prove a compactness result (3).

The scheme of the proof is the following. If  $Hu = f$ , then we:

- 1 regularize coefficients and data:  $a_{ij}^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$ ;
  - 2 solve the Dirichlet problem with boundary datum  $u$ , for the equation with  $C^\infty$  coefficients and data; by hypoellipticity, this solution  $u^\varepsilon$  is  $C^\infty$ , so it satisfies our a-priori estimates in  $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ ;
  - 3 apply some compactness argument to find a sequence  $u^{\varepsilon_n}$  converging to some  $v \in C_{loc}^{k+2,\alpha}$  (provided the constants in the a-priori estimates and the  $C^{k,\alpha}$ -norm of  $a_{ij}^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon$  are bounded uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ );
  - 4 apply some maximum principle and barrier argument to conclude that actually  $v = u$ , so that  $u \in C_{loc}^{k+2,\alpha}$ .
- A solvability result in the smooth case (2) and the suitable barrier argument (4) are classical results by Bony (1969, Ann. Inst. Fourier). It is also easy to prove a compactness result (3).
  - *The point is to provide a suitable mollification technique, allowing to control  $C^{k,\alpha}$ -norms.*

- In our general context (without dilations and translations) *there is not an obvious way to build good mollifiers.*

- In our general context (without dilations and translations) *there is not an obvious way to build good mollifiers.*
- We have done this exploiting the *heat kernel for the model operator:*

$$\mathbf{H} = \partial_t - L = \partial_t - \sum_{i=1}^q X_i^2.$$



- In our general context (without dilations and translations) *there is not an obvious way to build good mollifiers.*
- We have done this exploiting the *heat kernel for the model operator:*

$$\mathbf{H} = \partial_t - L = \partial_t - \sum_{i=1}^q X_i^2.$$

- By known results of Kusuoka-Stroock (1987, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo), there exists a fundamental solution  $h(t, x, y)$  of  $\mathbf{H}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{c |B(x, \sqrt{t})|} e^{-\frac{cd(x,y)^2}{t}} \leq h(t, x, y) \leq \frac{c}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{ct}}$$

$$\left| X_x^I X_y^J h(t, x, y) \right| \leq \frac{c}{t^{(|I|+|J|)/2} |B(x, \sqrt{t})|} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{ct}}$$

$\forall t \in (0, 1), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , for every multi-indexes  $I$  and  $J$ . Moreover:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(t, x, y) dy = 1 \quad \forall t \in (0, +\infty), x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We now use this “Gaussian kernel” to build a family of mollifiers adapted to the vector fields  $X_i$ .

### Theorem (mollifiers)

Let  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be a positive test function with  $\int \eta(t) dt = 1$  and let

$$\phi_\varepsilon(t, x, y) = \varepsilon^{-1} h(\varepsilon, x, y) \eta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

$\forall f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , set

$$f_\varepsilon(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \phi_\varepsilon(t - s, x, y) f(s, y) ds dy.$$

Then, there exists a constant  $c$  depending on  $\alpha$ ,  $\{X_i\}$ , such that

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_{C^\alpha} \leq c \|f\|_{C^\alpha}.$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f_\varepsilon - f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = 0.$$

To prove the above theorem, the idea is to apply again our abstract result on  $C^\alpha$ -continuity of singular integrals on spaces of homogeneous type, showing that the kernels  $\phi_\varepsilon$  satisfy the axioms of our theory with constants uniformly bounded with respect to  $\varepsilon$ . This is possible exploiting the properties of the heat kernel  $h$  that we have quoted.

We are also able to prove an analogous control on the  $C^{k,\alpha}$ -norm of the mollified function, but unfortunately *only for even  $k$* :

### Lemma

$\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $k$  even integer,  $U, U'$  bounded open sets, with  $U' \Subset U$ , there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $\forall f \in C^{k,\alpha}(U)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U')} \leq c \|f\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)}.$$

With these tools, our regularization result can be proved following the scheme we have recalled.

The limitation “ $k$  even” in the control of  $C^{k,\alpha}$ -norms of mollified functions is the reason of the analogous limitation in our regularization result.

## Application to the regularity of fundamental solutions

- In a recent paper in collaboration with Brandolini, Lanconelli, Uguzzoni (2006, an announcement will appear on C.R.A.S.) we prove that operators of the type we have considered so far,

$$H = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t, x) X_i X_j - \sum_{i=1}^q b_i(t, x) X_i - c(t, x)$$

with  $C^\alpha$  coefficients, possess a fundamental solution  $h(t, x; \tau, y)$ , which satisfies sharp Gaussian estimates of Kusuoka-Stroock type.

## Application to the regularity of fundamental solutions

- In a recent paper in collaboration with Brandolini, Lanconelli, Uguzzoni (2006, an announcement will appear on C.R.A.S.) we prove that operators of the type we have considered so far,

$$H = \partial_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t, x) X_i X_j - \sum_{i=1}^q b_i(t, x) X_i - c(t, x)$$

with  $C^\alpha$  coefficients, possess a fundamental solution  $h(t, x; \tau, y)$ , which satisfies sharp Gaussian estimates of Kusuoka-Stroock type.

- By construction, this fundamental solution has only a weak regularity (roughly speaking,  $\partial_t h$  and  $X_i X_j h$  just *exist*, without good continuity properties); however, in the above paper we prove that actually

$$h(\cdot; \tau, y) \in C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{(\tau, y)\})$$

with norm depending only on the coefficients only through their  $C^\alpha$  norms and the ellipticity constant  $\lambda$ .